# The $\pi^0$ Lifetime: Experimental Probe of the QCD Axial Anomaly

Dustin E. McNulty MIT/UMass for the PrimEx Collaboration mcnulty@jlab.org

April 16, 2008



# The $\pi^0$ Lifetime: Experimental Probe of the QCD Axial Anomaly

# Outline

- Physics Motivation
- Experimental Overview
- Calibration Reactions Pair Production Compton Scattering
- $\pi^0$  Analysis Details
- Preliminary  $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma}$  Result
- Summary and Outlook



D. Abrahamyan<sup>(s)</sup>, A. Afanasev<sup>(d)</sup>, A. Ahmidouch<sup>(1)</sup>, P. Ambrozewicz<sup>(1)</sup>, K. Baker<sup>(d)</sup>, L. Benton<sup>(1)</sup>, A. Bernstein<sup>(j)</sup>, E. Clinton<sup>(i)</sup>, P. Cole<sup>(e)</sup>, P. Collins<sup>(b)</sup>, D. Dale<sup>(g)</sup>,
S. Danagoulian<sup>(1)</sup>, R. Demirchyan<sup>(1)</sup>, A. Deur<sup>(f)</sup>, J. Feng<sup>(m)</sup>, M. Gabrielyan<sup>(g)</sup>, L. Gan<sup>(m)</sup>,
A. Gasparian<sup>(1)</sup>, O. Glamazdin<sup>(h)</sup>, J. Goity<sup>(d)</sup>, V. Gyurjyan<sup>(f)</sup>, R. Hakobyan<sup>(c)</sup>, K. Hardy<sup>(1)</sup>,
M. Ito<sup>(f)</sup>, M. Khandaker<sup>(k)</sup>, P. Kingsberry<sup>(k)</sup>, M. Konchatnyi<sup>(h)</sup>, O. Korchin<sup>(h)</sup>, S. Kowalski<sup>(j)</sup>,
M. Kubantsev<sup>(n)</sup>, V. Kubarovsky<sup>(o)</sup>, I. Larin<sup>(a)</sup>, D. Lawrence<sup>(i)</sup>, D. McNulty<sup>(j)</sup>, R. Minehart<sup>(q)</sup>,
R. Miskimen<sup>(i)</sup>, V. Mochalov<sup>(o)</sup>, S. Mtingwa<sup>(1)</sup>, I. Nakagawa<sup>(g)</sup>, S. Overby<sup>(1)</sup>, E. Pasyuk<sup>(b)</sup>,
M. Payen<sup>(1)</sup>, R. Pedroni<sup>(1)</sup>, Y. Prok<sup>(j)</sup>, B. Ritchie<sup>(b)</sup>, T. Rodrigues<sup>(p)</sup>, C. Salgado<sup>(k)</sup>,
J. Santoro<sup>(r)</sup>, A. Sitnikov<sup>(a)</sup>, D. Sober<sup>(c)</sup>, A. Teymurazyan<sup>(g)</sup>, J. Underwood<sup>(1)</sup>, A. Vasiliev<sup>(o)</sup>,

(a) Alikhanov Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, (b) Arizona State University,
(c) Catholic University, (d) Hampton University, (e) Idaho State University, (f) Jefferson Lab,
(g) University of Kentucky, (h) Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology,
(i) University of Massachusetts, (j) Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
(k) Norfolk State University, (l) North Carolina A&T,
(m) University of North Carolina, Wilmington, (n) Northwestern University,
(o) Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, (p) University of Sao Paulo,

(q) University of Virginia, (r) Virginia Tech, (s) Yerevan Physics Institute



# **Physics Motivation: Anomalies in QCD**

- Anomaly: When a symmetry of the classical theory is not present in the quantized version.
- In QCD, the anomaly is not anomalous, it is an essential part of the theory.
- For which processes does the anomaly occur?

 $\rightarrow$  Define a multiplicative quantum number "natural parity" (NP) = 1 for S, V, ... particles. NP = -1 for PS, PV, ...

- $\rightarrow$  An anomalous reaction changes the NP:
- $\rightarrow \gamma \pi (NP = -1) \longrightarrow \gamma \pi (NP = -1)$  not anomalous
- $\rightarrow \pi^0(NP = -1) \longrightarrow \gamma\gamma(NP = 1)$  anomalous
- $\rightarrow \gamma \pi (NP = -1) \longrightarrow \pi \pi (NP = 1)$  anomalous
- All anomalous reactions are governed by the Wess-Zumino Lagrangian in ChPT
- In the Chiral limit, the absolute rate of these rections are predicted by QCD



### **Physics Motivation**

•  $\pi^0$  decay rate is a fundamental prediction of QCD.

**Chiral Anomaly** 

Presence of closed loop triangle diagram results in nonconserved axial vector current, even in the limit of vanishing quark masses.



 $\rightarrow$ In the leading order (chiral limit), the anomaly leads to the decay width:

$$\Gamma_{\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha^2 m_{\pi}^3}{64\pi^3 F_{\pi}^2} = 7.725 \pm 0.044 \text{ eV}$$
(1)

where  $F_{\pi} = 92.42 \pm 0.25$  MeV is the pion decay constant.

 $\rightarrow$  Current Particle Data Book value is 7.84  $\pm$  0.56 eV

Dustin McNulty, Apr 16, 2008, GWU Nuclear Physics Seminar, Washington DC



### **Physics Motivation**

- LO prediction exact in Chiral limit 12
- For  $m_q \rightarrow 0$ , there are corrections:
  - $\rightarrow$  Due to isospin sym-breaking  $(m_u \neq m_d), \pi^0, \eta \text{ and } \eta'$ 
    - mixing induced.
  - $\rightarrow$  Further corrections induced by terms in the Chiral Lagrangian.
- NLO prediction for the decay width is 8.10 eV  $\pm$  1%
  - $\rightarrow$  Calc. using Chiral Perturbation

Theory and  $1/N_c$  expansion.

J.L.Goity et al, Phys. Rev. D66, 076014 (2002); B.Moussallam, Phys. Rev. D51, 4939 (1995)

 $\rightarrow$  This is 4% higher than current experimental value!

• A precision measurement of the  $\pi^0$  decay width is needed.



DESY



### **CERN (Direct Method) Decay Length Measurement**

 $\rightarrow \tau_{\pi^0} \sim 1 \times 10^{-16} \mbox{ s} \Rightarrow$  too small to measure

 $\rightarrow$  Solution–Measure decay length of highly energetic  $\pi^0$ 's:

$$L = v \tau_{\pi^0} E / m \tag{2}$$

 $\rightarrow$  for E = 1000GeV, L $\sim$  100 $\mu$ m (very challenging experiment)

 $\rightarrow$  Performed in 1984: Used 450GeV protons

ightarrow Result:  $\Gamma_{(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)} = 7.34 eV \pm 3.1\%$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Dominant syst. error: Uncertainty in  $E_{\pi^0}$  (±1.5%)





### **The Primakoff Effect**

•  $\pi^0$  photoproduction from Coulomb field of nucleus.

• Equivalent production  $(\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow \pi^0)$ and decay  $(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  mechanism implies Primakoff cross section proportional to  $\pi^0$  lifetime.

• Primakoff  $\pi^0$  produced at very forward angles.



$$\frac{d\sigma_P}{d\Omega} = \Gamma_{(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)} \frac{8\alpha_{em}Z^2}{m^3} \frac{\beta^3 E^4}{Q^4} |\tilde{F}_{em}(Q)|^2 \sin^2\theta_{\pi}$$

(3)









### **Experiment Overview**

- Conducted at Jefferson Lab, Fall 2004
- Used 5.75 GeV continuous e<sup>-</sup> beam and Hall B γ-tagging facility
- Tagged photons incident on 5%X<sub>0</sub> targets: <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>208</sup>Pb
- New PrimEx/Hall B calorimeter (HyCal), upstream of CLAS, designed to detect  $\pi^0$  decay  $\gamma$ 's
- Measured 3 physical processes (absolute cross sections): Primary  $\pi^0$  production, Secondary Compton and  $e^+e^-$  pair production
- Improvements over previous experiments: Precision tagged  $\gamma$  flux and incident  $\gamma$  energy info, enhanced  $\pi^0$  angular and mass resolution, and identification and subtraction of background event contamination





11

# Hall B Photon Tagger

- Single dipole magnet combined with a hodoscope containing two planar arrays of plastic scintillators to detect energy-degraded electrons from a thin bremsstrahlung radiator.
- Tagger has 0.1% energy resolution and is capable of 50 MHz rates.





# **Photon Flux Control**

- PrimEx achievement: Total uncertainty in photon flux = 1.1%.
- Number of tagged photons on target  $(N_{\gamma})$  calibrated periodically using a Total Absorption Counter (TAC).
- Any drifts in the tagging ratio, occuring between calibration points, are monitored online with the  $e^+e^-$  pair spectrometer.









Dustin McNulty, Apr 16, 2008, GWU Nuclear Physics Seminar, Washington DC



# **HyCal Calibration**

- Full x,y motion allowed each ch. to be scanned through tagged  $\gamma$  beam.
- Performed at both the beginning and end of the experiment.









# Calculation of Pair Production Cross Section at PrimEx Kinematics

- Bethe-Heitler mechanism of pair production on the nucleus with screening effects due to atomic elactrons and Coulomb distortion
- Pair production off atomic electrons, considering excitation of all atomic states and correlation effects due to the presence of other electrons and the nucleus
- Radiative corrections (of order  $\alpha/\pi$ ) (i) virtual photon loops and (ii) real photon process like  $\gamma + A \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + A + \gamma$
- Nuclear incoherent contribution,  $\gamma + p \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + p$
- Nuclear coherent contribution (VCS),  $\gamma + A \rightarrow \gamma^* + A \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + A$













### **Compton Cross Section Preliminary Result**







# Analysis Details: $\pi^0$ Event Selection

CAL       Pair Spectrometer       Tagger       Veto         2       5003       Event:       10473       Detector Info         Image: Ima	MUCAL       Pair Spectrometer       Tagger       Veto         Rm:       503       Event:       16473	Event So	urce	Next Ev	ent	Co	ntinuo	us E	vent	s	Sta	op	į.					
: 503 Even: 10473 Detector Info W1156 Pedestal: mean 0.0 sigma 0.0 ADC: - Energy: - GeV Gain: N/A W105POSITED Use Bank: HYCAL HYCAL Use Bank: HYCAL HYCAL User-defined E cut: 0.0 GeV Chester Info Custer scheme: 5x5 Custer Scheme: 5x5 Custer Energy: - GeV	Run: 503 Event: 10473	HYCAL	Pair	Spectromete	r   T	agger	Ve	to										
Image: Construction of the construc	Image: Construction of the construc	Run:	5003	Event:		10473												Detector Info
W1156         W156         W157         W158         W158         W159         W159         W150	Image: Construction of the construle of the construction of the constructio		- 1				1						1					
ADC: - Energy: - GeV Gain: N/A WCDEPOSITED WYCAL WS Bank: WYCALitit WYCAL WYCALI WYCAL	MCPART (thrown)       MCPART (thrown)														1/2	O	VCALR econstructed)	W1156
MCPART       Energy:       - GeV         Gain:       N/A         MCPART       MCPART         (thrown)       MCPART         WCDEPOSITED         WCDEPOSITED         WCDLuster         WCDLuster         WCDLuster         WCDEPOSITED         WCDLuster         WCDLuster </td <td>MCPART (thrown)       Incrus: Gain: N/A WCDEPOSITED We Bank: HYCAL We B</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>++</td> <td></td> <td>1000</td> <td>Pedestal: mean 0.0 sagma 0.0</td>	MCPART (thrown)       Incrus: Gain: N/A WCDEPOSITED We Bank: HYCAL We B				++												1000	Pedestal: mean 0.0 sagma 0.0
Gain: N/A MCDEPOSITED With a state of the	Gain: N/A MCDEPOSITED HYCAL HYCAL Use Bank: HYCAL HYCAL HYCAL Use Christer Subtract Polyestate User-defined E cut: 00 GeV Christer Info Cluster scheme: 5x5 Cluster scheme: 5x5 Cluster Energy: GeV	-	+++		++	++	++-		+	++	-	-			-	OM	(CPART hrown)	Energy: - GeV
<ul> <li>MCDEPOSITED</li> <li>HYCAL</li> <li>HYCALouster</li> <li>Subtract Potestate</li> <li>User-defined E cut: 00 GeV</li> <li>Chester Info</li> <li>Cluster display: none</li> <li>Num. members: Cluster Energy: GeV</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MCDEPOSITED</li> <li>HYCAL</li> <li>HYCAL</li></ul>	Ĥ	TT	1 AHHH	ΠĤ	ŤΠ	ΠĒ	T	Ħ	İΠ	ŤΠ	Ŧ					1135-113 <b>5</b> 1	Gain: N/A
HYCAL HYCAL HYCALitit HYCALit HYCALitit HYCALitit HYCALitit HYCALitit HYCALitit	Use Bank:  HYCAL HYCALhit HYCALcluster Subtract Potestade User-defined E cut:  0.0 GeV Cluster display: none Num. members: Cluster Energy: GeV		+++									-			-			V MCDEPOSITED
Ober Dollik:         HYCALhit        HYCALhit        HYCALhit        HYCALhit        HYCALcluster        Subtract Podestase        User-defined E cut: 0.0 GeV          Outer scheme:       5x5        Ouster scheme:       5x5         Outer display:       none        Num. members:       Cluster Energy: GeV	Ose Balk.      HYCALhit	Ħ																Use Bank: V HYCAL
WYCALcluster   Subtract Pedestes User-defined E cut: 0.0 GeV Cluster Info Cluster scheme: 5x5 Cluster display: none Num. members: Cluster Energy: GeV	HYCALcluster Subtract Pedestas User-defined E cut: 0.0 GeV Chester Info Custer scheme: 5x5 Custer display: none Num. members: Cluster Energy: GeV	H	+++	-								#-			-			+ HYCALhit
Subtract Podestate         User-defined E cut:       0.0         Guster Info         Custer scheme:       5x5         Custer scheme:       5x5         Custer fined E:       0.0         Custer scheme:       5x5         Custer Energy:       -         Custer Energy:       -         Custer Energy:       -         Custer Energy:       -	Subtract Podestate     Subtract Podestate     User-defined E cut:     0.0     Cluster scheme:     5x5   Cluster display:     Num, members:        Cluster Energy:     Cluster Energy:	Ħ																HYCALcluster
User-defined E cut: 0.0 GeV Choster Infe Custer scheme: 5x5 Custer display: none Num. members: Cluster Energy: GeV	User-defined E cut: 0.0 GeV  Christer Info  Custer scheme: 5x5  Custer display: none  Num. members:  Cluster Energy: GeV	+	+++									-	++-	++	-			Subtract Pedestals
Choster Info	Chester Info Cluster scheme: 5x5 Cluster display: none Num. members: Cluster Energy: GeV									⊞								User-defined E cut: 0.0 GeV
Custer scheme: 5x5 Custer display: none Num. members: Cluster Energy: GeV	Custer scheme: 5x5 Custer display: none Num. members: Cluster Energy: GeV		+++-									₽-	++-	++	-			Charter Info
Custer scheme: 5x5 Custer display: none Num, members: Cluster Energy: GeV	Custer scheme: 5x5 Custer display: none Num. members: Cluster Energy: GeV									Ш		#						Sacra inter
Cluster display: none Num, members: Cluster Energy: GeV	Cluster display: none Num, members: Cluster Energy: GeV								£			<b>-</b>			-			Cluster scheme: 5x5
Num. members:       Cluster Energy: GeV	Num. members:         Cluster Energy: GeV	-										#-						Cluster display: none
Cluster Energy: GeV	Cluster Energy: GeV														115			Num, members:
		H	+++	+					H	H	$\square$	Ŧ			Н			Cluster Energy: GeV
		H	+++	++++				-		++	+	_			$\square$			
								10										
		0				1	1	-	_	1		_			3			



### Analysis Details: $\pi^0$ Yield Extraction



• For each  $\theta_{\pi^0}$  bin, apply elastic cut and form  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  distributions; perform fit and extract peak counts = uncorrected yield.

• Correct for inelastic bkgd by evaluating  $\pi^0$  elasticity distribution explicitly for each  $\theta_{\pi^0}$ ; evaluate inelastic bkgd under the elastic peak using fit and subtract from yield.













# Analysis Details: $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma}$ Determination

• Convert Yield to Cross Section.

$$\frac{d\sigma_{exp}}{d\theta_{\pi^0}} = \frac{N_{\pi^0}^{yield}(\theta_{\pi^0})}{N_{\gamma} \times N_t \times \varepsilon_{\pi^0}(\theta_{\pi^0}) \times \Delta \theta_{\pi^0}}$$
(7)

 $\rightarrow$  where  $N_{\gamma} \equiv \#$  of  $\gamma$ 's on target (uncertainty  $\sim 1.0\%$ ).

 $\rightarrow$  where  $N_t \equiv$  target atoms/cm<sup>2</sup> (thickness mapped to ~ 0.05%).

 $\rightarrow$  where  $\varepsilon_{\pi^0} \equiv$  experimental acceptance (uncertainty  $\sim 0.6\%$ ).

• Fit experimental data with parameterization:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{exp}}{d\theta_{\pi^0}} = b_p \frac{d\sigma_P}{d\Omega} + b_c \frac{d\sigma_N}{d\Omega} + b_i \frac{d\sigma_I}{d\Omega} + 2\cos\phi \sqrt{b_p b_c \frac{d\sigma_P}{d\Omega} \frac{d\sigma_C}{d\Omega}}$$
(8)

→ where the parameter  $b_p = \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ • Vary the four parameters  $(b_p, b_c, b_i, \text{ and } \phi)$  and minimize  $\chi^2$ .









# **Experimental Efficiencies:** <sup>12</sup>C

	Losses (%)			
Description	<sup>12</sup> C	<sup>208</sup> Pb		
Photon Absorption in Target	$5.41 \pm 0.02$	$5.92\pm0.01$		
Best (tdiff) Candidate selection	$2.5\pm0.3$	$1.1\pm0.3$		
Elasticity Cut: [0.906, 1.086]	$1.7\pm0.3$	$1.7\pm0.3$		
Veto Cut: all flags $(0, 1, 2, 3)$	$1.97\pm0.12$	$1.97\pm0.12$		
Branching Ratio $\pi^0 \nrightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$1.2\pm0.03$	$1.2\pm0.03$		
Total	$12.8\pm0.5$	$11.9\pm0.4$		

Table 1: Summary of non-geometric losses.







# **Yield Fit,** $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ **Extraction: Procedure**

• Parameterize yield using sum of 4 theoretical shapes–smeared according to experimental resolutions.

 $\rightarrow$  Calculate theory input shapes (cross sections) energy-weighted according to experimental flux.

 $\rightarrow$  Create  $\pi^0$  event generator based on above cross sections and run through Primsim Monte Carlo.

 $\rightarrow$  Digitize simulated data and reconstruct events using same algorithms as for real data. Produce simulated yield distributions with built-in experimental resolutions.

• Freely vary amplitudes of 4 shapes and minimize  $\chi^2$ .

**PrimEx Collaboration** 









### **Preliminary Systematic Error Table**

Description	$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \text{ dev } (\%)$
m <sub>γγ</sub> fits + inelast bkgd corr.	±1.1
Inelastic bkgd shape uncert.	±0.75
Photon flux	$\pm 0.98$
Experimental efficiencies	$\pm 0.5$
Fiducial Acceptance	$\pm 0.3$
Event Selection	$\pm 0.9$
$\pi^0$ bkgd from $\omega$ and $\rho$ decay	$\pm 1.0$
Target thickness	$\pm 0.1$
branch ratio	±0.03
Tagged Photon Energy	$\pm 0.1$
Total Systematic Error	±2.3%



### **Preliminary Theoretical Input (Model) Error Table**

	$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \text{ dev } (\%)$		
Description	<sup>12</sup> C	<sup>208</sup> Pb	
Incoherent XS shape uncert.	±1.35	±0.11	
Nuclear coh. XS energy dep.	±0.16	$\pm 0.06$	
$F_{NC}$ intermediate state	±0.02	±0.73	
$\pi$ -N cross section uncertainty			
Total Model Error	±1.38	$\pm 0.74$	

Jefferson Lab Hall B







### **Summary and Outlook**

- High Quality precision  $\pi^0$  photoproduction data on <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>208</sup>Pb targets using  $4.9 \le E_{\gamma}^{tagged} \le 5.5$  GeV has been collected and analyzed by the PrimEx Collaboration.
- Preliminary cross section results from studied calibration reactions  $e^+e^-$  production and Compton scattering are both in excellent agreement with theory (at the 2 3% level).
- All three  $\sim$ independent  $\pi^0$  analysis groups have achieved very consistent results.
- The preliminary  $\pi^0$  partial width result:  $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma} = 7.93 \text{eV} \pm 1.8\% (\text{stat}) \pm 2.3\% (\text{syst}) \pm 1.1\% (\text{model}).$
- The mean lifetime:  $(8.20 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-17}$  s
- Preliminary  $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma}$  results from both targets in excellent agreement.
- Continued work on reducing systematic error and finalizing results.