#### New transverse beam asymmetry measurements

Dustin E. McNulty Idaho State University mcnulty@jlab.org

(for the PREX-II/CREX Collaboration) July 29, 2020











### New transverse beam asymmetry measurements for <sup>208</sup>Pb, <sup>48</sup>Ca, <sup>40</sup>Ca, and <sup>12</sup>C Outline

- Introduction to beam-normal single spin asymmetry
- PREX-II and CREX transverse asymmetry meas.
  - Experiment overview, apparatus and techniques
  - $-A_n$  measurement and kinematics
  - Sample focal Plane spectra, raw data, and uncertainties
- New  $A_n$  results (preliminary)
  - With past theory calc's and PREX-I and HAPPEX data
  - Phenomenological fits to new and old data
  - New data shown with new calc's from Gorchtein
- Summary





## Beam Normal Single Spin Asymmetry Introduction

- Electron beam polarization  $(\vec{P_e})$  is transverse to beam momentum; incident on unpolarized target
- Induces azimuthal parity-conserving asymmetry  $(A_n)$

 $\longrightarrow A_n = \frac{\sigma_{\uparrow} - \sigma_{\downarrow}}{\sigma_{\uparrow} + \sigma_{\downarrow}}, \text{ with } \uparrow (\downarrow) \text{ parallel (anti-parallel) to normal} \\ \text{vector } \hat{n} = \frac{(\vec{k} \times \vec{k'})}{|\vec{k} \times \vec{k'}|}; \vec{k} \ (\vec{k'}) \text{ initial (final) electron mom.} \\ \longrightarrow A_{meas}(\phi) = A_n \vec{P_e} \cdot \hat{n} \text{ where } \phi \text{ is angle between } \vec{P_e} \text{ and } \hat{n}$ 

- $A_n$  vanishes in the Born approximation, thus can provide sensitive probe of two- or multi-photon exchange effects
- Order of magnitude:  $A_n \sim \alpha_{em} \cdot \frac{m_e}{E_e} \sim 10^{-6} 10^{-5}$   $\longrightarrow$ Historically very challenging measurement  $\longrightarrow$ Precision measurements feasible with PV expt. setup





### Beam Normal Single Spin Asymmetry

Measurement Motivations

- One of the largest potential false asymmetries in precision PV electron scattering (PVeS) experiments
- As PVeS experiments push envelope of precision  $A_{PV}$  meas., corrections for BNSSA leakage become increasingly important
  - $\longrightarrow$  Leakage suppressed by axially symmetric detectors and minimizing transverse beam polarization components
  - $\longrightarrow$ But still has potential for large systematic contribution
  - $\rightarrow$  PVeS experiments perform dedicated measurements of  $A_n$  to quantify size of potential systematic error
- Test theoretical framework of calculations, and specifically the  $2\gamma$  exchange contribution, to further push the precision frontier





### Beam Normal Single Spin Asymmetry

Calculation Motivations

- $A_n$  provides direct access to absorptive part of the  $2\gamma$  exchange amplitude (A. De Rujula *et. al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **35**, 365 (1971))
- General formalism developed: M. Gorchtein, P.A.M. Guichon, M. Vanderhaeghen, Nucl. Phys. A 741, 234 (2004)

$$A_n = \frac{2\mathrm{Im}(T_{1\gamma}^* \cdot \mathrm{Abs}T_{2\gamma})}{|T_{1\gamma}|^2}$$



 $\rightarrow$ Calculations sensitive to treatment of intermediate hadronic states  $X = N, \pi N, \dots$ 

• Understanding of  $2\gamma$  exchange contributions here could be useful in extending framework to EW processes  $(\Box_{\gamma Z}, ...)$ 





### Beam Normal Single Spin Asymmetry

### Motivation

• Theoretical and experimental inputs into understanding the imaginary part of  $T_{2\gamma}$  can give better understanding of the real part (help resolve Rosenbluth $\iff$ Pol.Transfer discrepancy)







### **3** Decades of Technical Progress

photocathodes, polarimetry, high power cryotargets, nanometer beam stability, precision beam diagnostics, low noise electronics, rad-hard dets **PVeS Experiment Summary** 

1st generation2nd generation3rd generation4th generation

E122 – 1<sup>st</sup> PVES Expt (late 70's at SLAC) Mainz & MIT-Bates in mid 80's JLab program launched in mid 90's E158 at SLAC meas PV Møller scattering MOLLER at JLab in mid 2020's



• Parity-violating electron scattering has become a precision tool!





D

Jefferson Lab, Newport News, Va



### **PREX-II/CREX** Overview

#### PREX-II:

- 0.95 GeV e beam, 70  $\mu$ A
- 0.5 mm thick  $^{208}$ Pb tgt (10% X<sub>0</sub>)
- 5° scattered electrons
- $Q^2 = 0.0063 \text{ GeV}^2, A_{PV} \sim 0.5 \text{ppm}$ **δ** $A_{PV}$  ~ 15 ppb (3%)
- 5 mm thick  ${}^{48}$ Ca tgt (5% X<sub>0</sub>) 5° scattered electrons
- $Q^2 = 0.030 \text{ GeV}^2, A_{PV} \sim 2ppm$
- $\delta A_{PV} \sim 80 \text{ ppb } (4\%) \text{ proposed Source}$
- high polarization, ~89% ; helicity reversal at 240 & 120 Hz

CREX:

Dedicated A<sub>n</sub> measurements on <sup>208</sup>Pb, <sup>48</sup>Ca, <sup>40</sup>Ca, and <sup>12</sup>C

Symmetric High Resolution Spectrometers (HRS)



2.18 GeV e<sup>-</sup> beam, 150 μA EBAR



New thin

detectors

quartz





### "Parity Quality" Beam Monitoring

#### (normalization and false-asymmetry systematics control)





PREX-II/CREX



### PREX-II/CREX Targets

- Diamond foils excellent thermal conductivity
- <sup>12</sup>C is isoscaler, spin-0, A<sub>pv</sub> is well-measured, so benign background! (dilution, not false asymmetry)
- 70uA limited in PREX due to target thermal properties



**0.5mm lead, 0.25mm diamond sandwich, 1 sq. in. face** Synchronized 4x4mm raster handles non-uniform lead thickness





#### 1.1g/cm2; ~2x2mm raster

Target has good thermal cond., so can run at 150uA
New Target sandwiched 3 pucks together: ~92% <sup>48</sup>Ca

\*slide from Caryn Palatchi





### Spectrometer and Integrating Detector Focal Plane for Hall A Parity Violation Experiments









- PREX-II took place over summer 2019 and completed successfully in early September 2019
  - ► Measured ~0.5 ppm  $A_{PV}$  from <sup>208</sup>Pb with ~1 GeV beam at 5°  $\theta_{lab}$  to ~3% stat. precision
  - ➢ Integrated flux rates were >2 GHz per arm (Left and Right HRS); 26% detector resolution
  - Achieved ~14 ppb statistical precision with a few nanometer control on beam positions
  - > Overall systematic error well below 14 ppb; will extract neutron skin to  $\pm 0.07$  fm error
- CREX (Calcium Radius Experiment) ran from Dec 2019 to March 2020 using same apparatus as PREX-II; will run for 4 – 6 more weeks in Aug – Sep 2020 (pandemic-pending)





#### Transverse Asymmetry (A<sub>n</sub>) Measurement

- Symmetric beam-left and -right spectrometers positioned in the horizontal plane  $(\pm 5^{\circ})$
- With *vertical* transverse beam polarization  $(P_e)$ , Left-arm measures  $A^L_{raw} = A_n P_e \cos(0^\circ)$  and Right-arm measures  $A^R_{raw} = A_n P_e \cos(180^\circ) = -A^L_{raw}$
- The average difference between  $A^{L}_{raw}$  and  $A^{R}_{raw}$ , referred to as the double difference (dd), gives the BNSSA result:  $A_{n}$  (times  $P_{e}$ )
- The average sum of  $A^{L}_{raw}$  and  $A^{R}_{raw}$  yields a precision null-result given a high degree of symmetry in left-right and out-of-plane acceptances of two spectrometers









### A<sub>n</sub> Measurement Kinematics, Widths & Rates

Experiment	Target	$\theta_{lab}$	$Q^2$ (GeV <sup>2</sup> )	E <sub>b</sub> (GeV)	<cos∳></cos∳>
	Carbon-12	5°	0.0066	0.95	0.966
PREX-II	Pb-208	5°	0.0062	0.95	0.969
	Ca-40	5°	0.0066	0.95	0.974
CREX	Carbon-12	5°	0.033	2.183	0.963
	Pb-208	50	0.032	2.183	0.963
	Ca-40	50	0.030	2.183	0.964
	Ca-48	5°	0.030	2.183	0.964
			A <sub>meas</sub> dd	Rate per	Beam
		Ib	rms @ 30Hz	Arm	Pol.*
Experiment	Target	(µA)	(ppm)	(GHz)	(%)
PREX-II	Carbon-12	90	140	0.85	89.5
	Pb-208	70	93	2.2	89.5
	Ca-40	70	91	2.3	89.5
CREX	Carbon-12	150	580	0.048	86.9
	Pb-208	70	1270	0.010	86.9
	Ca-40	150	740	0.029	86.9
	Ca-48	150	810	0.025	86.9

\* Transverse beam polarization vector greater than 99% vertical





### Integrated Focal Plane Spectra for $A_{PV}$ and $A_n$







# <sup>48</sup>Ca Inelastic level strength (preliminary) and contamination rejection by quartz

Momentum 48Ca (run2886) without adcCut







### Raw Data: <sup>48</sup>Ca Transverse Running (at 2 GeV and 5°)



- Left and Right arms symmetrically probe  $A_n$  with opposite sign and are combined via  $A_{raw} = (A_{Larm} A_{Rarm})/2$
- Sign corrected for IHWP state, several hours were spent at each IHWP state on each target, ~8hours of data shown above
- Beam corrections made via charge normalization
- $\alpha$  and  $\beta_i$  (so-called "detector slopes") calculated via beam noise regression and measured several times per hour using beam-dithering steering coils (beam modulation system). Both method's results are shown above

#### \*slide from Caryn Palatchi







### A<sub>n</sub> measurement Uncertainties

- Beam polarization inferred from longitudinal polarization measurements taken before and after transverse running
  - $\circ$  P<sub>e</sub> (CREX): 86.9% obtained by averaging both Compton and Moller measurements
  - $P_e$  (PREX): 89.5% obtained by averaging only Moller measurements for in/out states
  - While detailed analysis completes, we are assigning a relative pol. uncertainty of 2%
- <sup>208</sup>Pb target dilution from <sup>12</sup>C-diamond foils accounted for via rate ratio calculation and weighted subtraction of the measured <sup>12</sup>C A<sub>n</sub> from the measured <sup>208</sup>Pb A<sub>n</sub>
- <sup>48</sup>Ca target impurities from <sup>40</sup>Ca (~8%) accounted for using same rate ratio calculation and subtraction of measured asymmetry; very small correction and error since measured asymmetries are nearly the same
- Beam asymmetry uncertainties:
  - 1 4% for <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>40</sup>Ca (and 0.06 ppm for <sup>208</sup>Pb) for PREX-II
  - 1 2% for <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>40</sup>Ca, <sup>48</sup>Ca (and 0.09 ppm for <sup>208</sup>Pb) for CREX
- Statistical uncertainties:
  - ~6% for  ${}^{40}Ca$ ,  ${}^{12}C$  (and 0.35 ppm for  ${}^{208}Pb$ ) for PREX-II
  - ~11% for  ${}^{40}Ca, {}^{48}Ca, {}^{12}C$  (and 1.9 ppm for  ${}^{208}Pb$ ) for CREX





## PREX-I and HAPPEX A<sub>n</sub> Measurements (Previously Published)



- neglects Coulomb distortions
- S. Abrahamyan, et al. PRL 109, 192501 (2012)
- M. Gorchtein, C. J. Horowitz, PRC **77**, 044606 (2008) Surprising result: Wild disagreement for Pb measurement!



PREX-II/CREX



### PREX-II and CREX $A_n$ Results (with all Hall A meas.)









# PREX-II and CREX A<sub>n</sub> Results (with all Hall A meas.)



New A<sub>n</sub> measurements (PREX-II,CREX) consistent with old measurements (PREX)

- <sup>208</sup>Pb A<sub>n</sub> nearly 0 for multiple Q [from 0.08-0.17GeV] (after <sup>12</sup>C diamond subtraction)
- ${}^{12}C$  and  ${}^{40}Ca$  A<sub>n</sub> nearly overlap one another for 2 different Q [from 0.08-0.17GeV]
- ${}^{48}$ Ca and  ${}^{40}$ Ca A<sub>n</sub> overlap one another for these kinematics (despite differing A/Z)







### Global phenomenological fit presuming linear Q dependent model



- Observe: <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C , <sup>48</sup>Ca, <sup>40</sup>Ca (measured at 5° and 6°) points appear to lie along linear fit
- Observe: offset is non-zero!
- Forcing a fit through (0,0) fails, indicating A<sub>n</sub> is not strictly proportionate to Q in this kinematic region, but perhaps Q to power less than 1



PREX-II/CREX



### Considering A/Z scaling



Plot with A<sub>n</sub> normalized to A/Z to remove A, Z dependence

• For the light and A/Z=2 nuclei ( ${}^{1}H, {}^{4}He, {}^{12}C, {}^{40}Ca$ ), A<sub>n</sub> does appear to satisfy A/Z scaling







### Considering A/Z scaling



Plot with  $A_n$  normalized to A/Z to remove A, Z dependence

• For the light and A/Z=2 nuclei ( ${}^{1}H$ ,  ${}^{4}He$ ,  ${}^{12}C$ ,  ${}^{40}Ca$ ), A<sub>n</sub> does appear to satisfy A/Z scaling







### PREX-I and PREX-II A<sub>n</sub> Measurements with New Theory Curves







### CREX A<sub>n</sub> Measurements with New Theory Curves





PREX-II/CREX



### Other Measurements



- Developing a landscape of A<sub>n</sub> measurements for a range of A and Z at various kinematics
- HAPPEX, PREX and CREX measurements all small angle elastic scattering (5°,6°)

(Note: larger angle scattering measurements exist but require model corrections and may not be useful for comparison on the same diagram)





### Summary

Achieved a systematic set of  $A_n$  measurements over a range of Z at various beam energies Observed features for forward elastic electron scattering at 5°:

- New A<sub>n</sub> measurements (PREX-II, CREX) consistent with old measurements (HAPPEX, PREX-I)
- <sup>208</sup>Pb A<sub>n</sub> nearly zero for multiple Q [from 0.08 0.17 GeV]
- ${}^{12}C$  and  ${}^{40}Ca$   $A_n$  overlap one another for two different Q [from 0.08 0.17 GeV]
- $^{48}$ Ca and  $^{40}$ Ca  $A_n$  overlap one another for these kinematics despite differing A/Z
- While appearing linear with Q, A<sub>n</sub> for <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>48</sup>Ca, and <sup>40</sup>Ca does not appear strictly proportionate to Q in the kinematic range. Simple linear fit misses origin!
- For light and A/Z = 2 nuclei (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C, and <sup>40</sup>Ca ),  $A_n$  appears to satisfy A/Z scaling.

Wish: new theoretical calculations that treat dispersion corrections and Coulomb distortions simultaneously

Hope: might lead to new insights into the structure of heavy nuclei [or just help guide and constrain theoretical calculations]

D. McNulty







### **Extra Slides**





# PRELIMINARY Uncertainties

Target	C12	Ca40	Pb208
False Asymmetry	0.06	0.2	0.06
Baem polarization	0.1	0.1	0.008
Linearity	0.1	0.1	0.008
Target impurities	0.00	0.00	0.3
Total systematic	0.2	0.3	0.3
Statistical	0.4	0.3	0.1
Total Error	0.4	0.4	0.3

PREX-II An Measurement uncertainties (ppm)

Target	C12	C40	Ca48	Pb208
False Asymmetry	0.2	0.003	0.09	0.09
Baem polarization	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.03
Linearity	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.03
Target impurities	0.00	0.00	0.6	0.9
Total systematic	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.9
Statistical	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.9
Total Error	1.1	1.1	1.2	2.1

CREX An Measurement uncertainties (ppm)