Glimpsing one of Nature's Secrets:Theπ0 **Lifetime**

Dustin E. McNulty**UMass** *mcnulty@jlab.org*March 5, 2010

 \bigcup

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

Glimpsing one of Nature's Secrets:The π^0 **Lifetime**

Outline

- Introduction and Physics Motivation
- Experimental Overview
- Calibration Reactions Pair ProductionCompton Scattering
- •• π^0 Analysis Details
- Final $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma}$ Result
- Summary and Outlook

PrimEx Collaboration

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

- \bullet QED: Relativistic quantum field theory describing the interactions between electrically charged particles by photon exchange. \rightarrow Very successful fundamental theory–can calculate all EM phenomenon to extremely high precision.
- \bigcup • QCD: Fundamental theory describing the interactions between color charged particles (quarks and gluons) which make up hadrons. \rightarrow Difficult to prove–can only make quantitative, testable predictions using perturbative approach for high momentumtransfer processes...Here the quark masses are neglected....

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

Intro: Examples of Charges and their Theories

- *χPT*: Effective (not fundamental) field theory describing the physics of QCD with light quark masses. It does this by replacing thequark/gluon interactions by ^a set of nucleon/pion interactions withstrengths governed by the axial charge.
- \bigcup \rightarrow Strengths and limitations under investigation: Uses perturbative expansion of exchange currents associated with the near masslessthree lightest quarks to make testable predictions about the structureof hadrons at low energies. .

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

Intro: Properties of the Neutral Pion (π^0)

- Lightest of all hadrons: mass $= 264m_e (134.98MeV)$
- Spin $= 0$ (boson)
- •• Decay channels: $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ (98.8%), $\pi^0 \to e^+e^-\gamma$ (1.1%)
- •• Composition: $(u\bar{u} - d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$
- •• Quantum numbers: $J^{PC} \equiv 0^{-+}$
- \rightarrow Total angular momentum J = S + L = 0 implies π^0 is a scalar

(not changed by Lorentz transformations)

- \rightarrow Natural Parity P = (-), implies x \rightarrow -x, mirror reversed ψ needs to be multiplied by -1 (means π^0 is a pseudoscalar).
- \bigcup \rightarrow Charge Parity C = (+), implies meson unchanged under interchange of quark and antiquark (q \rightarrow q̄); it is its own anti-particle.

 \setminus

\bigwedge **Intro: Connection Between Decay Width and Lifetime**

- In addition to other parameters, unstable particles are characterized. by their mass and mass uncertainty
- The mass uncertainty is called the "width" of the unstable particle and can be theoretically related to its lifetime (τ) via the Weisskopf -Wigner relation

Hand-Waving Explanation

- Decay width $\Gamma = \hbar / \tau$ follows from the energy-time uncertainty principle $\Delta E \Delta t \leq \hbar/2$
- The idea is this: If you observe a narrow mass peak (small energy uncertainty, ΔE), then its lifetime (Δt) can be relatively long, and vice versa
- So very short lifetimes can be determined by width measurements

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

Intro: History of π^0 **Lifetime Experiments**

- •• 1947: Pions (π^{\pm}) discovered in cosmic rays
- •• 1950: π^0 discovered in cosmic rays, $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decay mode observed at Berkeley Cyclotron (lifetime to short to measure)
- Mean lifetime τ_{π^0} < 10⁻¹⁵ seconds established by 1957 from $\mathrm{K}^+ \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ emulsion experiment (d_{π^0} < 0.5 μ m)
- 1951: Primakoff effect ($\gamma \gamma^* \rightarrow \pi^0$) invented
- 1970-5: First experiments to use Primakoff effect to measure τ_{π^0}
- Particle Data Group (PDG) Book database established by ¹⁹⁸⁸

 \bigcup

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

$\mathbf{Intro: \mathbf{ History \ of \ } \pi^0 \ \mathbf{Lifetime \ Theory} }$

- •• The amplitude $(A_{\pi\gamma\gamma})$ for $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma = 0$ in the Chiral limit $(m_q \to 0)$ according to theory of Partially Conserved Axial Current (PCAC)
- 1968: Adler, Bell, and Jaciw discover the axial anomaly (non-conservation of axial current)

$$
\Rightarrow A_{\pi\gamma\gamma} = \alpha_{\rm em}/\pi F_{\pi}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \Gamma_{\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma} = (m_{\pi}^3/64\pi)A_{\pi\gamma\gamma}^2 = 7.725 \text{eV} \pm 0.5\%
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \tau_{\pi^0} = 8.07 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow c\tau_{\pi^0} \sim 25 \text{ nm}
$$

 \bigcup

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

Intro: Anomalies in QCD

- Anomaly: When ^a symmetry of the classical theory is not presen^t in the quantized version.
- In QCD, the anomaly is not anomalous, it is an essential par^t of the theory.
- For which processes does the anomaly occur?

 \rightarrow Define a multiplicative quantum number "natural parity" (P) = 1 for S, V, ... particles. $P = -1$ for PS, PV, ...

 \rightarrow An anomalous reaction changes the natural parity:

 $\gamma \pi(P = -1) \longrightarrow \gamma \pi(P = -1)$ not anomalous
 $P(P = -1) \longrightarrow \gamma \pi(P = -1)$ and anomalous $\pi^0(P = -1) \longrightarrow \gamma \gamma(P = 1)$ anomalous $\gamma \pi(P = -1) \longrightarrow \pi \pi(P = 1)$ anomalous

- All anomalous reactions are governe^d by the Wess-Zumino Lagrangian in χPT which permits transitions that violate certain symmetries.
- In the Chiral limit, the absolute rate of these reactions are predicted by QCD

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

Physics Motivation

•• π^0 decay rate is a fundamental prediction of QCD.

Chiral Anomaly

Presence of closed loop triangle diagram results in nonconserved axial vector current, even in the limit of vanishing quark masses.

 \rightarrow In the leading order (chiral limit), the anomaly leads to the decay width:

$$
\Gamma_{\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha^2 m_{\pi}^3}{64\pi^3 F_{\pi}^2} = 7.725 \pm 0.044 \text{ eV}
$$
 (1)

where $F_{\pi} = 92.42 \pm 0.25$ MeV is the pion decay constant.

 \longrightarrow Current Particle Data Book value is 7.84 ± 0.56 eV

 \bigcup

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

Physics Motivation

- •• For $m_q \nrightarrow 0$, there are corrections:
	- \rightarrow Due to isospin sym-breaking $(m_u \neq m_d)$, π⁰, η and η/ mixing induced.
	- \rightarrow Further corrections induced by terms in the Chiral Lagrangian.
- NLO prediction for the decay width is $8.10 \text{ eV} \pm 1\%$

 \rightarrow Calc. using Chiral Perturbation

Theory and $1/N_c$ expansion.

J.L.Goity et al, Phys. Rev. D66, 076014 (2002); B.Moussallam, Phys. Rev. D51, 4939 (1995)

 \rightarrow This is 4% higher than current experimental value!

◦ \circ A precision measurement of the π^0 decay width is needed.

 \bigcup

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

CERN (Direct Method) Decay Length Measurement

 \longrightarrow $-\tau_{\pi^0} \sim 1 \times 10^{-16}$ s \Rightarrow too small to measure

 \longrightarrow \rightarrow Solution–Measure decay length of highly energetic π^0 's:

$$
L = v \tau_{\pi^0} E / m \tag{2}
$$

→ for ^E ⁼ 1000GeV, ^L[∼] ¹⁰⁰*µ*^m (very challenging experiment)

 \rightarrow Performed in 1984:
ad 450CaV meters Used 450GeV protons

 \rightarrow Result: $\Gamma_{(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)}$ = 7.34eV \pm 3.1%

 \rightarrow Dominant syst. error: Uncertainty in E_{π^0} (±1.5%)

The Primakoff Effect

•• π^0 photoproduction from Coulomb field of nucleus.

PrimEx Collaboration

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

- •Equivalent production $(\gamma \gamma^* \rightarrow \pi^0)$ and decay $(\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma)$ mechanism implies Primakoff cross sectionproportional to π^0 lifetime.
- •Primakoff π^0 produced at very forward angles.

$$
\frac{d\sigma_P}{d\Omega} = \Gamma_{(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)} \frac{8\alpha_{em} Z^2}{m^3} \frac{\beta^3 E^4}{Q^4} |\tilde{F}_{em}(Q)|^2 sin^2\theta_{\pi}
$$
(3)

PrimEx Collaboration

Dustin McNulty, March 5, 2010, William & Mary Physics Colloquium

 \bigcup

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

PrimEx Collaboration

D. Abrahamyan^(s), A. Afanasev^(d), A. Ahmidouch⁽¹⁾, P. Ambrozewicz⁽¹⁾, K. Baker^(d), L. Benton⁽¹⁾, A. Bennstein^(j), E. Clinton⁽ⁱ⁾, P. Cole^(e), P. Collins^(b), D. Dale^(g), S. Danagoulian⁽¹⁾, R. Demirchyan⁽¹⁾, A. Deur^(f), J. Feng^(m), M. Gabrielyan^(g), L. Gan^(m), A. Gasparian⁽¹⁾, O. Glamazdin^(h), J. Goity^(d), V. Gyurjyan^(f), R. Hakobyan^(c), K. Hardy⁽¹⁾, M. Ito^(f), M. Khandaker^(k), P. Kingsberry^(k), M. Konchatnyi^(h), O. Korchin^(h), S. Kowalski^(j), M. Kubantsev⁽ⁿ⁾, V. Kubarovsky^(o), I. Larin^(a), D. Lawrence⁽ⁱ⁾, D. McNulty^(j), R. Minehart^(q), R. Misk M. Payen⁽¹⁾, R. Pedroni⁽¹⁾, Y. Prok^(j), B. Ritchie^(b), T. Rodrigues^(p), C. Salgado^(k), J. Santoro^(r), A. Sitnikov^(a), D. Sober^(c), A. Teymurazyan^(g), J. Underwood^(l), A. Vasiliev^(o), V. Vishnyakov^(a), M. Wood⁽ⁱ⁾

(a) Alikhanov Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, (b) Arizona State University, (c) Catholic University, (d) Hampton University, (e) Idaho State University, (f) Jefferson Lab, (g) University of Kentucky, (h) Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, (i) University of Massachusetts, (j) Massachusetts Institute of Technology, (k) Norfolk State University, (l) North Carolina $A \& T$, (m) University of North Carolina, Wilmington, (n) Northwestern University, (o) Institute for High Energy Physics, Protyino, (p) University of Sao Paulo, (q) University of Virginia, (r) Virginia Tech, (s) Yerevan Physics Institute

Experiment Overview

- Conducted at Jefferson Lab, Fall ²⁰⁰⁴
- Used ⁵.⁷⁵ GeV continuous ^e[−] beamand Hall B ^γ-tagging facility
- Tagged ^photons incident on 5% X_0 targets: ¹²C and ²⁰⁸Pb
- New PrimEx/Hall B calorimeter (HyCal), upstream of CLAS, designed to detect π^0 decay γ 's
- •• Measured 3 physical processes (absolute cross sections): Primary - π^0 production, Secondary - Compton and e^+e^- pair production
- \setminus • Improvements over previous experiments: Precision tagged $γ$ flux and incident γ energy info, enhanced π^0 angular and mass resolution, and identification and subtraction of background event contamination

PrimEx Collaboration

 \bigwedge

Hall B Photon Tagger

- Single dipole magne^t combined with ^a hodoscope containing two planar arrays of plastic scintillators to detect energy-degraded electronsfrom ^a thin bremsstrahlung radiator.
- Tagger has ⁰.1% energy resolution and is capable of ⁵⁰ MHz rates.

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

Photon Flux Control

- PrimEx achievement: Total uncertainty in photon flux $= 0.98\%$.
- Number of tagged photons on target (N_{γ}) calibrated periodically using ^a Total Absorption Counter (TAC).
- Any drifts in the tagging ratio, occurring between calibration points, are monitored online with the *e*⁺*e*[−] pair spectrometer.

- Optimal performance/cost design
- 1.2 m \times 1.2 m, 1728 channels
- ⁵⁷⁶ Lead-glass (outer layers)
- ¹¹⁵² Lead-Tungstenate crystal (inner layers)

Dustin McNulty, March 5, 2010, William & Mary Physics Colloquium

 \setminus

www.

 \bigcup

PrimEx Collaboration

Jefferson Lab Hall ^B

$\bigg($ ✩**HyCal Assembly – Support Frame and Cooling System**

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

HyCal Assembly – Light Monitoring System

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

HyCal Calibration –"Snake Scans"

- Full x,y motion allowed each ch. to be scanned through tagged γ beam.
- Performed at both the beginning and end of the experiment.

Dustin McNulty, March 5, 2010, William & Mary Physics Colloquium

 \bigcap

 \setminus

✩**Calculation of Pair Production Cross Section at PrimExKinematics**

- Bethe-Heitler mechanism of pair production on the nucleus with screening effects due to atomic electrons and Coulomb distortion
- Pair production off atomic electrons, considering excitation of all atomic states and correlation effects due to the presence of other electrons and thenucleus
- Radiative corrections (of order α/π) (i) virtual photon loops and (ii) real photon process like γ + A→e⁺ + e[−] + A + γ
- Nuclear incoherent contribution, $\gamma + p \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + p$
- Nuclear coherent contribution (VCS), $\gamma + A \rightarrow \gamma^* + A \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + A$

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

• Agreement with theory at $\sim 1.0\%$ level

- "Single-Arm" Compton Data:
	- \longrightarrow \rightarrow Dominant Source of Events in π^0 production data-runs
 \rightarrow B_{PS} \sim 2 T_J \rightarrow 100 nA only scattered photon determined
	- \rightarrow B_{PS} \sim 2 T, I_{beam} \sim 100 nA, only scattered photon detected

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

Compton Cross Section Preliminary Result

- Average statistical error: ⁰.6%
- $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ • Total error: ¹.3% (dominated by ^photon flux: ¹.0%)

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{Analysis\; Details:\:} \ \pi^0 \;\textbf{Event\;Selection}}$

 π^0 analysis

We measure:

incident photon: energy and time π^0 decay photons: energies, coordinates and time

Kinematical constraints:

Conservation of energy; m_{yy} invariant mass

Three groups analyzed the data independently

PrimEx Collaboration

- For each θ_{π^0} bin, apply elastic cut and form m $_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions; perform fit and extract peak counts ⁼ uncorrected yield.
- under the elastic pk using fit and sub. from yield. •• Correct for inelastic bkgd by eval π^0 elast. dist. explicitly for each θ_{π^0} ; eval. inel. bkgd

tagger ^EclusterPair/E tagger /E clusterPair

Elasticity = E

PrimEx Collaboration

✬✩**Analysis Details: Yield with backgrounds (**¹²**^C and** ²⁰⁸**Pb)**

 $\sqrt{2}$

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

Analysis Details: $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma}$ **Determination**

•Convert Yield to Cross Section.

$$
\frac{d\sigma_{exp}}{d\theta_{\pi^0}} = \frac{N_{\pi^0}^{yield}(\theta_{\pi^0})}{N_\gamma \times N_t \times \varepsilon_{\pi^0}(\theta_{\pi^0}) \times \Delta\theta_{\pi^0}}
$$
(7)

 \rightarrow where *N*_γ \equiv # of γ's on target (uncertainty \sim 1.0%).

 \longrightarrow → where $N_t \equiv$ target atoms/cm² (thickness mapped to \sim 0.05%).

→ where ϵ_{π^0} \equiv experimental acceptance (uncertainty \sim 0.6%).

• Fit experimental data with parametrization:

$$
\frac{d\sigma_{exp}}{d\theta_{\pi^0}} = b_p \frac{d\sigma_P}{d\theta} + b_{nc} \frac{d\sigma_N}{d\theta} + b_b \frac{d\sigma_I}{d\theta} + 2\cos\phi \sqrt{b_p b_{nc} \frac{d\sigma_P}{d\theta} \frac{d\sigma_C}{d\theta}}
$$
(8)

 \rightarrow where the parameter $b_p = \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ ◦ \circ Vary the four parameters (b_p, b_{nc}, b_b, and ϕ) and minimize χ^2 .

Dustin McNulty, March 5, 2010, William & Mary Physics Colloquium

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

Yield Fit, ^Γγγ **Extraction: Procedure**

• Parametrize ^yield using sum of ⁴ theoretical shapes–smeared according to experimental resolutions.

$$
\frac{d\sigma_{exp}}{d\theta_{\pi^0}} = b_p \frac{d\sigma_p}{d\theta} + b_{nc} \frac{d\sigma_N}{d\theta} + b_b \frac{d\sigma_I}{d\theta} + 2\cos\phi \sqrt{b_p b_{nc} \frac{d\sigma_P}{d\theta} \frac{d\sigma_C}{d\theta}} \quad (9)
$$

 \rightarrow Calculate theory input shapes (cross sections) energy-weighted
welling to experimental flux according to experimental flux.

 \longrightarrow \rightarrow Create π^0 event generator based on above cross sections and run
ush Primaim Mante Garla through Primsim Monte Carlo.

 \rightarrow Digitize simulated data and reconstruct events using same
with masses for real data. Braduce simulated viald distributions. algorithms as for real data. Produce simulated yield distributions withbuilt-in experimental resolutions.

•• Freely vary amplitudes of 4 shapes and minimize χ^2 .

PrimEx Collaboration

\bigcap ✩**MC Shape Generation: Exmpl. Thrown & Det. Spectra**

 $\sqrt{2}$

 \bigwedge

Yield Fit and Cross Section for ¹²**^C**

 $\sqrt{2}$

 \bigwedge

 \setminus

 \bigwedge

$\Gamma(\pi^0\to$

Dustin McNulty, March 5, 2010, William & Mary Physics Colloquium

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

Summary and Outlook

- •• High Quality precision π^0 photoproduction data on ¹²C and ²⁰⁸Pb targets using $4.9 \le E_{\gamma}^{\text{tagged}} \le 5.5$ GeV has been collected and analyzed by the PrimEx Collaboration
- Cross section results from studied calibration reactions e^+e^- production and Compton scattering are both in excellent agreemen^t with theory (at the 2%level)
- •• All three \sim independent π^0 analysis groups have achieved very consistent results for both targets
- •• The final π^0 partial width result: $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma}$ = 7.82eV \pm 2.2% (stat) \pm 2.1% (syst); Overall \pm 3.0% error.
- •• The mean lifetime: $(8.32 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-17}$ s
- $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma}$ result consistent with both LO and NLO predictions
- \bigcup • Continuation of this measurement in Hall ^B late this year; approve^d 12GeVHall D measurement of η , η' lifetime...

PrimEx Collaboration

Jefferson Lab Hall ^B

 \sqrt{a}

 \setminus

PrimEx Collaboration

 \bigwedge

 \bigcup

Pair **Spectrometer**

 $\bigg($

 \setminus

HyCal Specifications

PrimEx Collaboration

 \bigwedge

HyCal – Bare (unwrapped) PbWO⁴ **Crystals**

 \bigcup

 \setminus

PrimEx Collaboration

 \bigwedge

HyCal Assembly – Crystal Wrapping

 \bigcup

PrimEx Collaboration

✬✩**Beam Alignment Monitoring using Single-Arm Compton**

 $\sqrt{2}$

PrimEx Collaboration

 \bigwedge

The ^ω [→] ^π0^γ **Background Correction**

- d $\sigma/d\theta_{\pi^0}$ for $\omega \to \pi^0\gamma$ taken from T. Rodrigues and implemented
- •• Convert ω cross section into absolute yield while imposing experimental resolutions using Monte Carlo
- Explicitly subtract contribution from experimental ^yield

 \bigwedge

 $\bigg($

Photon Flux

 $\bigg($

MMMO-

 \setminus

Experimental Efficiencies

Table 1: Summary of non-geometric losses.

 \bigcup