#### <span id="page-0-0"></span>PMT Non-Linearity Studies at ISU

Devi L. Adhikari

adhidevi@isu.edu

Idaho State University

October 1, 2017

**Idaho State** 

### **Outline**

- Introduction (Asymmetry and non-linearity)
- Motivations
- Black Box Setup
- Qweak ADC Details
- Steps and approaches in Non-Linearity Measurement
- Some Recent Measurements
- Summary Table
- Issues and Questions
- Summary and Future Plans

### Introduction (Asymmetry and non-linearity)

#### $A$ <sub>LED</sub>= *N*<sup>+</sup> − *N*<sup>−</sup>  $N^+$  +  $N$ <sup>−</sup> With, *Navg* = *N*<sup>+</sup> + *N*<sup>−</sup> 2

 $A_{LED} = A_{true} (1 + \beta N_{avg})$  is the fit function.

- $\mathsf{N}^{\pm} = \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{S}}^{\pm} (1 + \beta \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{S}}^{\pm})$  is the PMT response for the signal  $N_{\rm s}^{\pm}$ .
- β parameterizes the non-linearity

LED

- $\blacksquare$  N<sub>avg</sub> settings provided by ND filter wheel
- Fits to A<sub>LED</sub> vs. N<sub>avg</sub> plot give A<sub>true</sub> and A<sub>true</sub>β and hence the non-linearity.
- Linearity measurement plays an important role in detector systematics.
- R7723Q PMT with modified base for improved non-linearity was used.

#### PREx-II/CREx

- Polarized beam with unpolarized target.
- Measurements in opposite helicity states.
- Asymmetry of cross sections:



### **Motivations**



- PMTs tested here will be used in the PREx-II/CREx detectors
- PREx-II/CREx are high-precision experiments with statistics dominated uncertainties
- PMT non-linearity is one of the important sources of systematic errors in PREx-II/CREx experiments
- PMT non-linearity will be at most 0.3 % (CREx) and 1 % (PREx-II).
- PMTs should show the best linear response with the LL equivalent to Cerenkov light that it receives during PREx-II/CREx experiments

### Black Box Setup and Integrating DAQ Systems



- $\cdot$  LED Holder  $\longrightarrow$  holds two LEDs, each with 2 mm diameter collimation
- Electronic Shutter  $\longrightarrow$  has now been connected with a relay to turn it "ON" and "OFF" automatically at any interval with computer script
- Filter Wheel  $\longrightarrow$  Computer Controlled Edmund Optics' Absorptive ND filters (400-700 nm) with 8 (100, 78, 50, 40, 25, 10, 0)% transmission settings (~randomly ordered)
- Filter Wheel is now controlled automatically using a shell script
- UV Diffuser Edmund Optics' ground fused silica
- PMT Holder  $\longrightarrow$  2" PMT with modified base for improved linearity
- Different pre-Amp settings with different resistances and offsets tested (MAIN, LUMI, KDPA, and SNS)

### Qweak ADC Details



- Samples the voltage every 2 μs
- Has a ±10 V range with 18 bit resolution (corresponding to 76.29 μV/channel)
- Has 8 inputs with 12  $\Omega$  input impedance
- Working with CODA 2.6.2 and a Linux ROC
- We use a Struck SIS3610 for triggering
- Each GATE is split into 4 blocks with the length (in time) of each block specified by user
- For 120 Hz flipping rate, we set 500 samples/block. So 2000 total samples, every 2 μs, gives 8000 μs long gate
- We are currently using a function generator to provide synchronized DAQ and LED driver signals

## Selection of Light Level (Preliminary)

- $\cdot$  Upstream Quartz thickness = 6 mm
- $\bullet$  Downstream Quartz thickness = 10 mm Results from Testbeam (Mainz Germany) w/o wrapping give:
- Peak PEs upstream  $=$  37 with  $\sim$  20% resolution
- Peak PEs downstream  $= 65$  with  $\sim 17\%$  resolution

### PREx-II

- $\bullet$  Rate = 1 GHz
- LL with upstream quartz =  $1$ GHz\*37\*e ~ 6 nA
- $\cdot$  LL with downstream quartz  $\sim$  10 nA CREx
- $\cdot$  Rate = 50 MHz
- LL with upstream quartz =  $50MHz*37*e$  ~ 0.3 nA
- LL with downstream quartz  $\sim$  0.5 nA
- I have tested 0.7 nA, 3 nA, 7 nA, and 14 nA LLs so far

### Steps in Data Collection

- LL controlled by HAPPEx timer DAC12, calibrated using R375 PMT with unity gain base
- DAQ (240 Hz) and LED flash (120 Hz) signals were synchronized
- Proper timing setting between LED, TRIGGER and GATE (40 μs and 100 μs respectively) was maintained (GATE duration is 8000 μs and the GATE does not start until 20 μs after the ADC receives the GATE signal)
- An automated filter wheel and shutter script orchestrated the data collection over 20 cycles of filter wheel:
	- ➔ Each filter stayed in its position for 10 sec and during each filter change the shutter remains closed for 2 sec
	- ➔ Just before each new filter cycle, pedestal data was taken for 5sec
	- ➔ Asymmetry Mean and Error from 20 cycles of filter wheel was used to produce non-linearity plot (A $_{\scriptscriptstyle \sf LED}$  vs N $_{\scriptscriptstyle \sf avg})$

### Steps and Approaches in Data Analysis

- Used simplified version of vQwk Analyzer to analyze data
- Quartet and non-Quartet approaches were tried for 7 nA LL
- An automated c++ code has been developed that removes any "unclean" data during filter rotation and analyzes the rest
- The pedestal correction was performed in three different ways: (1) include all pedestal data and subtract the same average for all data points (Note, pedestal data was collected anytime shutter closed)
	- (2) like (1) but for even and odd separately
	- (3) include only the pedestal data just before and just after a filter change (to pedestal-correct that specific filter)
- All three approaches were tried for 14 nA LL, and all gave same/consistent results – so we now only use method (1)

### Steps and Approaches in Data Analysis (contd.)

 For non-Quartet approach, the data from two consecutive gates were used to calculate simple pair-wise asymmetry



 For Quartet approach, the data from eight consecutive gates was used to determine asymmetry. Asymmetry is formed between the even and odd groups – gives flavor of 30 Hz flipping

S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 S7 S8  

$$
A = \frac{\sum_{even}^{4} S_n - \sum_{odd}^{4} S_n}{\sum_{even}^{4} S_n + \sum_{odd}^{4} S_n}
$$

### 0.7 nA LL Measurement

#### • 1.0 MΩ preAmp and -780 V High Voltage.

1199

Mean 0.03937

RMS 0.0003904

Entries









N avg = 4.838e+04 (63.6 %):

 $1200$ 

0.03939

Entries

Mean 0.03947

**RMS** 0.0003317











 $N$  avg = 7.245e+03 (9.5 %)

 $N$  avg = 3.864e+04 (50.8 %)



N\_avg = 3.081e+04 (40.5 %);









ntriem



.<br>Nitina  $0.0001$ 







**Devi L. Adhikari [PMT Non-Linearity Studies at ISU](#page-0-0) Constanting Company 11 / 25** 

### 7 nA LL Measurement

#### • 0.5 MΩ preAmp and -610 V High Voltage.

h0 asym1

Mean 0.03402

RMS 0.0003314

0.0355 0.03

h0\_asym4

Entries 1199

Entries  $-1195$ 











Entries





tfos















\*m  $h0$ \_asym3  $^{20}$  N\_avg = 7.305e+04 (79.9 %)



 $N$ \_avg = 8.668e+03 (9.5 %)

 $N$  avg = 4.654e+04 (50.9 %)





h<sub>0\_asym2</sub>

RMS 0.000197

Entries 1199 Mean 0.03396







N avg = 5.798e+04 (63.4 %)



### 14 nA LL Measurement

#### • 0.3 MΩ preAmp and -540 V High Voltage.





<u>1992 - 1993 - 1993 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 </u>





N avg = 4.123e+04 (63.7 %)

 $^{20}$ N\_avg = 2.625e+04 (40.5 %):







 $-N$  avg = 6.070e+02 (0.9 %)

بايرت







h0\_asym4



h<sub>0\_asym2</sub>

RMS 0.0005329

h0\_asym5

Mean 0.03354

RMS 0.0004556

Entries 1200

Entries 1200 Mean 0.03345



Entries





havg\_asym6

RMS 0.0031412

0.0325

Ertrios

**Mean** 











**Devi L. Adhikari [PMT Non-Linearity Studies at ISU](#page-0-0) Constanting Company 13 / 25** 

### Need of Second Order non-linearity





 $\perp$ 

 $-750$ 

 $\overline{\mathsf{d}}$ 

 $-1050$ 

 $-1000$ 

 $-850$ 

 $-800$ 

 $-900$ <br>HV (V)

 $-950$ 





∗ smaller non-linearity for smaller preAmp gain and higher HV.

							Run HV LL PreAmp Navg non-Linearity non-Linearity/V X2/ndf	
Summary Table (14 nA LL			1643 - 1000 14		0.01	68950 -5.941±0.980	$-1.129$	189.4/5
				1642 -710 14	0.1	$95810 - 1.669 + 0.870$	$-0.228$	8.623/5
			1637 -700 14		0.1	94070 -1.798 $\pm$ 0.549	$-0.251$	10.96/5
			1651 -690 14		0.1	74550 -1.928±0.672	$-0.339$	10.62/5
			1638 -670 14		0.1	73150 -2.163±0.767	$-0.388$	6.173/5
			1656 -600 14		0.3	$111400 - 2.905 \pm 0.682$	$-0.342$	4.381/5
			1657 - 580 14		0.3	94320 -2.802 $\pm$ 0.674	$-0.389$	7.933/5
			1658 - 560 14		0.3	78190 -3.099±0.640	$-0.519$	5.036/5
			1660 - 540 14		0.3	64550 -3.198 $\pm$ 0.797	$-0.649$	1.581/5
			1661 -540 14		0.5	$106600 - 3.460 \pm 0.604$	$-0.418$	8.637/5
			1663 - 520 14		0.5	88860 -3.800±0.640	$-0.561$	10.98/5
			1664 - 500 14		0.5	72020 -3.913±0.668	$-0.712$	4.415/5
			1666 -480 14		0.5	56870 -4.307 $\pm$ 0.636	$-0.993$	29.52/5
			1662 - 540 14		0.5	$108600 - 3.796 \pm 0.985$	$-0.467$	4.45/5
	non lingaritu va LIV		1667 -480 14		0.5	57230 -3.849 $\pm$ 0.665	$-0.882$	14.42/5

non-linearity vs HV



∗ smaller non-linearity for smaller preAmp gain and higher HV.

### Issues and Questions

- Should we think about changing quartz thickness for PREx-II? 10 nA LL will be near the acceptable limit.
- Is it a good idea to study linearity to the second order?
- $\blacksquare$  How to handle error (and interpret  $\chi^2$ ) on non-linearity properly?
- Why  $2^{nd}$  order fits give much higher non-linearity error?
- Could use more precise calibration of LL:
	- $\rightarrow$  calibrate picoammeter.
	- $\rightarrow$  use R7723 PMT with unity base, not R375 PMT.
- Question of preAmp bandwidth? What is best? Does it matter?  $\rightarrow$  We need a working KDPB preAmp to help test.

### Summary and Future Plans

- So far, results are very promissing; we will meet or surpass PMT non-linearity systematic error requirements.
- At 7 nA and 14 nA LLs, get smaller non-linearity for smaller preAmp gain and higher HV.
- At 0.7 nA LL, get smaller non-linearity for larger preAmp gain and smaller HV.
- Results from quartet analysis were not significantly different than those from non-quartet after implementing the 20 cycle data collection technique.
- Different approaches of subtracting pedestal didn't cause any difference in the non-linearity result.
- Planning to study non-linearity at other LLs and with different PMTs.
- Constant temperature data collection technique; exploring now.
- Also, still planning to explore the Qweak style non-linearity measurements which use 3 LEDs (two of them flashing at different rates and one steady).

#### THANK YOU

#### All the plots of the study can be found at:

#### [daq3.physics.isu.edu/linearity/Linearity.html.](http://daq3.physics.isu.edu/linearity/Linearity.html)

# Extra Slides

### Steps in Error Analysis



First Order non-Linearity	Second Order non-Linearity
\n $A_{LED} = A_{true}(1 + \beta * N_{avg})$ \n	\n $1. A_{LED} = A_{true}(1 + \beta * N_{avg} + \alpha * N_{avg}^2)$ \n
\n $N = \beta * N_{avg} = \frac{p_1}{p_0} * N_{avg} * 100\%$ \n	\n $1. A_{LED} = A_{true}(1 + \beta * N_{avg} + \alpha * N_{avg}^2)$ \n
\n        Here, $p_1$ and $p_0$ are the fit\n	\n $N = \frac{p_1}{p_0} * N_{avg} * 100\% + \frac{p_2}{p_0} * N_{avg} * 100\% = x + y$ \n
\n $\frac{\partial N}{\partial p_0} = -\frac{p_1}{p_0} * N_{avg} * 100\%$ \n	\n $3. \partial N = \sqrt{(\partial x)^2 + (\partial y)^2}$ \n
\n $\frac{\partial N}{\partial p_1} = \frac{1}{p_0} * N_{avg} * 100\%$ \n	\n $4. \partial x = \sqrt{(\frac{\partial p_1}{p_1})^2 + (\frac{\partial p_0}{p_0})^2} * \frac{p_1}{p_0} * N_{avg} * 100\%$ \n
\n $\partial N = \sqrt{(\frac{\partial p_1}{p_1})^2 + (\frac{\partial p_0}{p_0})^2} * \frac{p_1}{p_0} * N_{avg} * 100\%$ \n	
\n $\partial N = \sqrt{(\frac{\partial p_1}{p_1})^2 + (\frac{\partial p_0}{p_0})^2} * \frac{p_1}{p_0} * N_{avg} * 100\%$ \n	
\n $\partial N = \sqrt{(\frac{\partial p_1}{p_1})^2 + (\frac{\partial p_0}{p_0})^2} * \frac{p_1}{p_0} * N_{avg} * 10$	

### 0.7 nA LL Measurement

#### • 0.5 MΩ preAmp and -850 V High Voltage.

Shutter closed (pedestal data)

Pair-wise Asymmetry vs. pair #

Entries 96568<br>Mean 3.768e+06<br>RMS 3763  $rac{on}{off}$ 

3790<br>ADC (raw ch s

h1\_asym\_my<br>Fotoies 240583

Entries 240583<br>Mean x 1.205e+05

Mean x 1.205e+05<br>Mean y 0.03862<br>RMS x 6.936e+04

h<sub>0\_asym2</sub>

RMS 0.0006959

h<sub>0</sub> asym<sub>5</sub>

Entries

Mean

 $rac{1200}{0.0388}$ 









run 1702





 $N$  avg = 5.077e+03 (9.5 %)

a Unive

Entries

**B** 041  $0.046$ 

 $0.044$  $0.04$  $\alpha$ 



 $\frac{1}{2}$  avg = 3.398e+04 (63.5 %)









ivg\_asym



avg asym:











**Devi L. Adhikari [PMT Non-Linearity Studies at ISU](#page-0-0) Constanting Company 23 / 25** 

### 7 nA LL Measurement

#### • 0.5 MΩ preAmp and -590 V High Voltage.









N avg =  $4.643e+04(63.7%)$ 

 $^{20}$ N\_avg = 2.950e+04 (40.4 %):

็√<sup>พ</sup>เมา

յակի









 ${}^{\circ}$ [N\_avg = 3.704e+04 (50.8 %)



h0 asym1

Mean 0.03255

RMS 0.0002582

Entries  $-1200$ 

> 7m  $-6.65$





 $9 + 5\%$  ND  $6\%$ 





 $0.03$ 

avg asymt

Mean 0.0325

RMS 0.0001332

iettie:











**Devi L. Adhikari [PMT Non-Linearity Studies at ISU](#page-0-0) October 1, 2017 24 / 25**

h<sub>0\_asym2</sub>

RMS 0.0003659

h<sub>0\_asym5</sub>

Mean 0.03265

RMS 0.0003196

51448

Entries 1200

Entries  $-1199$ Mean 0.03255

### <span id="page-24-0"></span>14 nA LL Measurement

#### • 0.01 MΩ preAmp and -1000 V High Voltage.

















Entries

h0\_asym7

**RMS** 0.0002812

Entries 1199

Mean 0.0364

h.

 $^{20}$  N\_avg = 5.533e+04 (79.3 %):

 $N$ <sub>\_avg</sub> = 5.305e+03 (7.6 %)









havg\_asym4

**RMS** 0.00023

 $\alpha$ Mean 0.03586

Entries





Ertrios

.<br>Mean 0.0334

RMS 0.003626









**Devi L. Adhikari [PMT Non-Linearity Studies at ISU](#page-0-0) October 1, 2017 25 / 25**