MOLLER Annual Status and CD-3a Director’s Review

Shower-max and Irradiation Studies



Dustin McNulty
Idaho State University

November 15-17, 2022

Outline

- Shower-max overview
- Design and Engineering
- Prototyping and testbeam
- Simulated performance
- ES&H and Quality Assurance
- Irradiation Studies: quartz, plastic and electronics
- Summary

- Team Members:
  - D. McNulty. Idaho State U.
  - Michael Gericke, U. Manitoba
  - Krishna Kumar, U. Massachusetts
  - Larry Bartoszek, Bartozek Engineering
  - Carl Zorn, Jefferson Lab
  - Sudip Bhattarai, ISU grad student
  - Justin Gahley, ISU grad student
  - Sagar Regmi, ISU grad student
  - Jared Insalaco. ISU grad student

Shower-max Subsystem Overview

2.04.03	Shower Max Detector	Design, Procurement, Assembly, and Test of the Shower-Max detector system. It is composed of an array interleaved layers of quartz radiatiors and thin tungsten sheets making up an EM shower detector system.





Shower-max:
An electromagnetic sampling calorimeter

2022 prototype





- Provides additional measurement of Ring-5 integrated flux
- Weights flux by energy $\Longrightarrow$ less sensitive to low energy and hadronic backgrounds
- Also operates in event mode for calibrations and can give additional handle on background pion identification
- Will have good resolution over full energy range ($\lesssim 25\%$), and radiation hard with long term stability and good linearity

Shower-max module and ring geometry

ShowerMax detector: ring of 28 sampling calorimeters intercepting physics signal flux ~1.7 m downstream of ring 5



G4 GDML view

- Al. 6061 chassis and air-core light guide
- 99.95% pure tungsten and HPFS (quartz) radiators
- Rad. length: ~9.5 X_0
- Molière radius ~ 1.1 cm

- Using Electron Tubes 9305QKB pmt







- See L. Bartoszek's talk (next) for details of the SM and Main detector support structure



Modules staggered in z

Jefferson Lab

Shower-max Chassis parts

- Shop drawings created for prototyping





SHOWER MAX PARTS			
ITEM NO.	PART NAME/MATERIALS LIST	Material	QTY.
1	UPPER U CHANNEL	(1/4)" x 2" ALUMINUM 6061	2
2	FLOOR PLATE	0.25 (1/4) THICK 6061-T651 ALUMINUM PLATE	2
3	LOWER U CHANNEL	0.25 (1/4) THICK 6061-T651 ALUMINUM PLATE	2
4	FACE PLATE	0.25 (1/4) THICK 6061-T651 ALUMINUM PLATE	1
5	BACK PLATE	0.25 (1/4) THICK 6061-T651 ALUMINUM PLATE	1
6	WEB PLATE	0.625 (5/8)" THICK ALUMINUM 6061	2
7	TOP PLATE	0.25 (1/4) THICK 6061-T651 ALUMINUM PLATE	1
8	SUPPORT STRUT	1.5 (3/2)" THICK ALUMINUM 6061	2
9	LEFT FOOT PLATE	0.25 (1/4) THICK 6061-T651 ALUMINUM PLATE	1
10	RIGHT FOOT PLATE	0.25 (1/4) THICK 6061-T651 ALUMINUM PLATE	1

Shower-max Light guide parts

- Shop drawings created and light guide parts fabricated using Anolux Miro IV



ITEM NO.	PART NAME	MATERIAL	QTY.
1	SHORT FLAP	0.02" ANOLUX MIRO-SILVER OR MIRO - IV MATERIAL DOES NOT MATTER	4
2	LONG FLAP	0.02" ANOLUX MIRO-SILVER OR MIRO - IV MATERIAL DOES NOT MATTER	2
3	LIGHT GUIDE BACK	0.02" ANOLUX MIRO-SILVER REFLECTIVE ALUMINUM SHEET CAUTION: NO SCRATCH SURFACE REQUIRED	1
4	LIGHT GUIDE FRONT	0.02" ANOLUX MIRO-SILVER REFLECTIVE ALUMINUM SHEET CAUTION: NO SCRATCH SURFACE REQUIRED	1
5	SUITCASE	0.02" ANOLUX MIRO-SILVER REFLECTIVE ALUMINUM SHEET CAUTION: NO SCRATCH SURFACE REQUIRED	2

- CNC mirror sheet cut outs; 2 piece design; folded by hand





Past prototyping and testbeam

Prototypes constructed in 2018: both Full-scale and Benchmarking versions with two different “stack” configurations:

- 8 mm thick tungsten and 10 mm thick quartz (1A)
- 8 mm thick tungsten and 6 mm thick quartz (1B)

SLAC testbeam T-577 run: Dec 6 – 12, 2018

- Exposed prototypes to 3, 5.5, and 8 GeV electrons with Poisson beam multiplicity
- Validated our optical Monte Carlo with benchmarking prototype

--Stack design validated: number of layers/thicknesses; yields and resolutions match G4 predictions

- 2018 prototype beam performance sufficient for MOLLER

- 2022 prototype testbeam taking place at MAMI in fall 2022



Full-scale prototype: 12 cm x 25 cm active area

- 1st-pass engineered design concept vetted
- Light guide construction techniques developed



Shower-max: Prototyping and Testing

- New prototype constructed in summer 2022 for cosmic-ray tests and testbeam and in preparation for FDR
- Developed preliminary assembly fixture and techniques
- Prototyping some parts with 3D-printed plastic before fabricating with aluminum
- Will test prototype usnig 855 MeV electron beam at MAMI between Nov 21 – 28 (next week)









Jefferson Lab

Simulation results and performance

- Rate weighted, Moller energy acceptance for each shower-max Open, Closed, and Transition region module
- Detector resolution vs. electron energy with inset PE response dists for 2, 5.5 and 8 GeV





- Detector rates per module: includes Moller, background e-p processes and gamma-rays
- Mean PE yields per detected particle for each module

	Open		Closed		Transition		Ring Total	
	e^-	γ	e^-	γ	e^-	γ	e^-	γ
Rate [GHz]	9.3	83.3	3.9	29.4	4.8	50.9	159.8	1501
Mean PE yield [PEs]	564	3.8	320	3.1	352	2.7		

Risks and Mitigation Strategy

- Given high rates on Shower-max and the nature of the calorimeter, lifetime dose densities in the quartz layers are high:
  --ranging from 150 Mrad to 1.3 Grad

Lifetime peak dose/pixel [Grad/5x5 mm^2]				
Quartz layer	First	Second	Third	Last
Open	0.7	1.3	1.1	0.7
Transition	0.4	0.65	0.55	0.3
Closed	0.25	0.4	0.3	0.15

- The large PE yields combined with high rates also lead to high pmt cathode currents

- Longpass filters in front of the pmts eliminate the UV light contribution to the signal thus reducing affects of radiation damage to quartz and lowering pmt cathode currents

- Lifetime dose estimates in pmt and electronic components
  --LP filters are corning 7980 HPFS
  --pmt windows are fused silica

	PMT component lifetime mean dose/pixel [krad/5x5 mm^2]			
semi-septant	LP filter	window	Si chips region1	Si chips region2
Open	3300	1200	75	70
Transition	2200	890	71	62
Closed	1400	550	53	47

ES&H and Quality Assurance

Detector Modules:
– Working with common tools (e.g. potential for cutting) – implement best practices
– PMT HV – implement electrical and on the job training for workers

Mechanical:
– Working with common tools as well as Shop tools– workers must pass Machine Shop safety course
– Heavy detector modules require training to handle (hoisting and rigging)

Electronics:
– Working with common tools (e.g. potential for cutting) – implement best practices
– Soldering may be necessary – implement electrical and on the job training for workers

Radiation:
– All workers will have ISU radiation safety training -- https://www.isu.edu/radiationsafety/

- All activities and deliverables in accord with Jlab ES&H guidelines and Jlab's Integrated Safety Management System https://www.jlab.org/esh/eshhome
- All institutional EH&S rules will be followed (Idaho State University EH&S: https://www.isu.edu/ehs/)

QA/QC considerations:
– basic metrology will be applied to all received Shower-max parts (aluminum, tungsten, and quartz); assembly fitment
– quartz samples for radiation testing will be acquired from manufacturer production batches
– PMT and electronics quality/function checks (possibly quick non-linearity measurement to validate)
– Light guides will be folded/bent by qualified individual and will follow detailed procedure for consistency
– Module assembly instructions and procedures document will be developed and followed
– Module testing and validation procedures will also be developed

Shower-max Summary and future work

- Shower-max prototype construction complete and testing to take place at MAMI in late November
- There have been a few minor tweaks to the chassis and light guide model based on prototyping experience; Final Design Review is next month
- Testbeam results will be combined with simulation and cosmic-ray testing for validating module function and performance
- Risks and mitigation strategies have been identified. The use of longpass filters eliminates UV light from the signal while reducing pmt cathode currents to acceptable levels
- PMT non-linearity characterizations using the full readout electronics chain to start soon

Irradiation Studies: quartz (completed)

- Goal: quantify light transmission losses in detector radiators due to damage from anticipated radiation dose (for lifetime of MOLLER) – 45 Mrad peak and 120 Mrad peak per 5x5 mm^2 for ring 5 and ring 2, respectively
- Five candidate fused silica (quartz) samples chosen for testing: from Corning, Ohara, and Heraeus
- Irradiations conducted at the Idaho Accelerator Center using 8 MeV pulsed electron beam, ~40 mA peak current, ~1 μs pulse width (~40 nC/pulse) at 200 Hz repetition rate; samples are 50 cm from beam exit window
- Dose deposition quantified with G4 simulation benchmarked to beam dose profile and source measurements
- Work by Justin Gahley; report in [docDB #886]

Samples: 5 cm diameter or square, 1 cm thick; polished faces





Quartz radiation-hardness results: light loss











--All samples are wet (> 200 ppm OH content), except SK-1300 which is dry; doped Heraeus has high OH and high H2 content

--Main absorption center at 5.6 eV is the E' – unavoidable point-like defects that cause dangling Si atoms which absorb light

--The shoulder structures are from non-binding hydroxide absorption centers around 4.5 – 5 eV

--the doped Heraeus shows very little of this damage center at our doses

Quartz radiation-hardness results : Absorption Coeff’s











--All samples are wet (> 200 ppm OH content), except SK-1300 which is dry; doped Heraeus has high OH and high H2 content

--Main absorption center at 5.6 eV is the E’ – unavoidable point-like defects that cause dangling Si atoms which absorb light

--The shoulder structures are from non-binding hydroxide absorption centers around 4.5 – 5 eV

--the doped Heraeus shows very little of this damage center at our doses

Quartz Irradiation Study Summary

- Quartz radiation damage study completed; the data needed to inform our optical simulations is in hand
- Dose estimates for our radiation tests are at 10% level
- Heraeus high H_2 doped Spectrosil 2000 is best performing (clearly) – ~no shoulder structure in losses.
- Heraeus standard sample is worst performing – it has greatest light loss above 15 - 20 Mrad dose
- Tested 2" LP filters made with Corning 7980 to ~10 Mrad; we found no or little measurable loss
- Ordered 3" LP filters, also Corning 7980 (two each: 350 and 400 nm) and will radiation test one of them



Jefferson Lab

3D-printed Plastic Irradiation tests (ongoing)



Irradiate 10 dog-bones of each type at each dose level



3D-printed ASTM-D638 dog-bones

Nanodot OSL array beam dosimetry



Tensile testing apparatus

ABS Stress-Strain Curves



ABS ~unaffected by 1 – 20 Mrad dose levels

Tough PLA Stress-Strain Curves



PLA is stiffer but significantly weakened by radiation

Irradiation studies

Preliminary results for 3D-printed plastics:

- Results following irradiations:
  - PLA has high stiffness but is weakened by radiation
  - Nylon has low stiffness but is not weakened by dose
  - ABS is least affected by radiation

	1 Mrad		5 Mrad		20 Mrad	
Material	Modulus [ksi]	Yield [ksi]	Modulus [ksi]	Yield [ksi]	Modulus [ksi]	Yield [ksi]
ABS	390 ± 30	4.7 ± 0.2	380 ± 20	4.7 ± 0.2	370 ± 30	4.7 ± 0.2
toughPLA	480 ± 20	5.1 ± 0.2	460 ± 30	4.3 ± 0.1	480 ± 30	1.2 ± 0.1
Nylon	380 ± 30	5.0 ± 0.2	230 ± 70	6.2 ± 0.3	220 ± 60	6.1 ± 0.1

- Tensile strength results for non-irradiated plastic

	0 Mrad (baseline)	
Material	Modulus [ksi]	Yield [ksi]
ABS	390 ± 20	4.7 ± 0.2
tough PLA	430 ± 20	4.8 ± 0.2
Nylon	250 ± 30	6.1 ± 0.2
C-fiber Nylon	520 ± 50	5.6 ± 0.3

Plans for electronics:

- Sensitive SI chips will be dosed from 10 – 100 krad and tested for functionality and performance
- First Irradiation tests scheduled for Dec 13 and 15 at IAC
- Beam dose per pulse lower by 100x compared to plastic and quartz studies

PMT electronics



D. McNulty

mcnulty@jlab.org

Questions?

- Shower-max overview
- Design and Engineering
- Prototyping and testbeam
- Simulated performance
- ES&H and Quality Assurance
- Irradiation Studies: quartz, plastic and electronics
- Summary



Appendix Slides

T-577: SLAC Testbeam Setup: Benchmarking ShowerMax











Shower-max Ring Support Structure



- Aluminum bars (15 x 1.25 x 2.5 in^3) attach modules to ring structure--which is 2 inch thick (along z)
- Staggered modules are mounted to US and DS face of support ring (in alternating pattern)



Shower-max ring

- View looking radially inward along Shower-max ring
- Shows reasonable clearance for cabling



Simulation results and performance

Backgrounds:

- Rate weighted, gamma energy acceptance for each shower-max Open, Closed, and Transition region module
- Detector PE response distributions for 100, 200, and 500 MeV gamma-rays





- Detector PE response to pions and muons

	Mean PE Response: [PEs]	
Energy	Pion	Muon
2 GeV	37	33
5 GeV	60	34
8 GeV	93	33

Shower-max dose simulations using remoll

Shower-max ring in remoll GDML:

- Work done by Sudip

--We have estimated total dose in each quartz layer of Shower-max during MOLLER lifetime

--We also have estimates for the LP filter, PMT window, and pre-amp Si wafers

[docDB #866]





Looking downstream



Shower-max dose simulations using remoll

Open and Closed region detectors are upstream of Transition region detectors in the ring



Looking downstream

Quartz layer dose study:

Made each quartz layer sensitive for individual Open, Closed, and Transition detectors located at these specific positions

PMT region dose study:

Sensitive volumes:



Shower-max quartz layer lifetime dose estimates

- These are Open-region detector results (worst case)



- Ran 5M Moller, ep-elastic and ep-inelastic generator events
- Peak dose density is in 2nd layer at 1.2 Grad/5x5mm^2 pixel
- Closed region are 4x lower and Transition are ~3 times lower

Shower-max quartz layer lifetime dose estimates



Shower-max long pass filter and PMT window lifetime dose



Average lifetime doses (Mrad/pixel):

- Filter region:
  Open: ~3.3
  Closed: ~1.4
  Trans: ~2.2
- The 5 mm thick filter models both a 3 mm LP filter + 2 mm ND filter
- PMT window:
  Open: ~1.2
  Closed: ~0.6
  Trans: ~0.9

Jefferson Lab

Shower-max pre-amp Si chip lifetime doses

Open region | Closed region | Trans region

Inner chip plane

Outer chip plane



- Average lifetime dose (krad/pixel):

  Open: ~75
  Closed: ~50
  Trans: ~70

- Peak doses per pixel can fluctuate as high as 100 to 200+ krad

- Simulated Si wafers are 0.5 mm thick but have a huge area (4 x 5 cm^2) to give broad spatial dose sampling

Dose simulation (for Sep 2, 2021 run)

G4 simulation for quantifying dose





Simulated beam calibrated with beamspot measurements at 3 distances

Sample irradiated at 50 cm

Beam energy scans taken at beginning and end of tests

Beam charge data acquired throughout exposures

Simulated dose per 5x5 mm^2 normalized to average charge per beam pulse

Sample thickness is 10 mm

Location of light transmission measurements (within single 5 x 5 mm^2 pixel)

Beamspot measurement scans

Distance from beampipe window:







Quartz radiation-hardness results : loss vs. dose













Cosmic-ray stand for Shower-max testing in Idaho







Past prototyping and testbeam results

T-577: SLAC
Testbeam Setup:
Benchmarking
ShowerMax









Past prototyping and testbeam results











- Single quartz data used to benchmark quartz optical polish parameter in optical simulation
- With quartz polish calibrated, simulations performed with successively more stack layers and compared with SLAC data
- Data and simulation agree well (at 10% level); resolution steadily increases as more layers added